

Full Length Article

Phylogenetic and Taxonomic Relationship of Turkish Wild and Cultivated Emmer (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) Revealed by iPBS-Retrotransposons Markers

Meerim Arystanbekkyzy^{1,2}, Muhammad Azhar Nadeem¹, Husnu Aktaş³, Mehmet Zahit Yeken¹, Nusret Zencirci⁴, Muhammad Amjad Nawaz⁵, Fawad Ali¹, Muhammad Sajjad Haider⁶, Kenan Tunc², Gyuhwa Chung^{5*} and Faheem Shehzad Baloch^{1*}

¹Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agricultural and Natural Science, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey

³Artuklu University Vocational Higher School of Kızıltepe, Mardin, Turkey

⁴Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey

⁵Department of Biotechnology, Chonnam National University, Chonnam 59626, Republic of Korea

⁶Department of Forestry, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan

^{*}For correspondence: balochfaheem13@gmail.com; chung@chonnam.ac.kr

Abstract

Wild emmer (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) is the progenitor of cultivated wheat. Turkey is the main center of wheat and plays a vital role in the spread of various crops among the continents. Karacadağ region is considered as the domestication center of wheat and still, hundreds of landraces are prevalent. A total of 29 wild emmer landraces, 4 cultivated emmer wheat (*T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccum*) and five durum wheat (*T. turgidum* ssp. *durum*) cultivars were investigated for the genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship using the iPBS-retrotransposons markers. Mean polymorphism and polymorphic information contents (PIC) were 87.85% and 0.660, respectively. Mean effective numbers of alleles (1.961), Shannon's Information Index (0.682) and gene diversity (0.489) reflected the occurrence of a great level of variations. T17 and Chermik-1 genotypes were found much distinct and breeding valuable genotypes for wheat breeding. The unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) divided all genotypes by their genetic makeup and geographical locations. Among 3 species, UPGMA based clustering clearly separated the durum wheat from wild emmer and cultivated emmer wheat. Results are clearly supported by the principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) and model-based structure algorithm. Information provided herein comprehensively reflected the power of iPBS-retrotransposons for the diversity and phylogenetic relationship investigation and reflected that this marker system can be effectively applied to investigate phylogenetic and taxonomic relationship in any crop due to its universal nature. © 2019 Friends Science Publishers

Keywords: Wild emmer; Tetraploid wheat; Genetic diversity; iPBS-retrotransposons

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum* spp.) is one of the most ancient and an important cereal crop for different countries of the world (Enghiad *et al.*, 2017) and taken among the founder crops for the old world agriculture (Weiss and Zohary, 2011). It ranks 1^{st} due to its production and cultivation area among the cereal crops (Reynolds *et al.*, 2010). Domestication is a very complex and important process which involves the modification of wild plants into a crop (Gross and Olsen, 2010). Domestication has dramatically promoted human cultural development and considered as an important factor underlying human civilization (Peng *et al.*, 2011a, b). Wheat is 1^{st} crop to be domesticated between 12,000 and 10,000 years ago in the Fertile Crescent. 95% of the world wheat

production comes from bread wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) and the rest comes from durum wheat (Peng *et al.*, 2012). Mediterranean basin, India, Pakistan, north-central China, southwestern Australia, Argentina, central plains of the US and southern Russia are the main wheat producing regions of the world (Peng *et al.*, 2011a). Present day wheat cultivars fall into two groups: (I) tetraploid wheat (*T. turgidum* ssp. *durum*: 2n = 4x = 28, AABB) and (II) hexaploid (*T. aestivum*: 2n = 6x = 42, AABBDD) (Özkan *et al.*, 2011). Studies have confirmed three hybridization events in the bread wheat during its evolution (Marcussen *et al.*, 2014). Following the divergence of the *Triticum* and *Aegilops* lineages from a common ancestor ~6.5 million ago, these events which were involved in the lineage of A and B genome finally resulted in the formation of diploid D-

To cite this paper: Arystanbekkyzy, M., M.A. Nadeem, H. Aktaş, M.Z. Yeken, N. Zencirci, M.A. Nawaz, F. Ali, M.S. Haider, K. Tunc, G. Chung and F.S. Baloch, 2019. Phylogenetic and taxonomic relationship of turkish wild and cultivated emmer (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) revealed by iPBS-retrotransposons markers. *Intl. J. Agric. Biol.*, 21: 155–163

²Department of Biology, Institute of Natural Sciences, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey

genome wheat progenitor Aegilops tauschii (2n = 2x = 14,DD genome). The second event occurred back to a few hundred thousand years ago, which led to the development of tetraploid AABB genome of Triticum turgidum. This tetraploid genome formed as the result of a hybridization event between Triticum urartu (A genome) and Aegilops speltoides (B genome) following the whole genome duplication (Özkan et al., 2011). Wheat is considered as a model crop to study adaptation, domestication and evolution in the plants. Domestication of wheat, predominantly due to breeding activities, resulted in the genetic erosion which ultimately increased its susceptibility and vulnerability to biotic and abiotic stresses (Fu and Somers, 2009). High quality food with the higher yield is also a major concern to the world to meet the food requirement for future generations. Therefore, utilization of wild progenitors can serve as a source of variation for the wheat breeding.

Wild emmer wheat (Triticum turgidum SSD. dicoccoides) is an annual, predominantly self-pollinated plant which originated as a result of hybridization event between diploid T. urartu (genomes AA) with a species closely related to Aegilops speltoides (genomes SS, which are closely related to the BB genomes) (Dvorak et al., 1993). Evolutionary studies have confirmed that as a species, wild emmer is 360,000 years old and it originated somewhere in the Fertile Crescent as a result of the hybridization event (Dvorak and Akhunov, 2005). Domestication of wild emmer in western parts of Asia leads in the production of hulled cultivated emmer, however, this hulled emmer was replaced with free-threshing durum wheat during the Greco-Roman times (Arzani and Ashraf, 2017). Currently, wild emmer grows in a discontinuous arc from western Iran to Israel and it is divided into southern (Israel, Lebanon, and southern Syria) and northern (Turkey, Iraq and Iran) populations (Özkan et al., 2002; Luo et al., 2007). In the northern population, horanum race is dominant, however, judaicum is a robust race which is dominant in southern populations. It is considered that the latter may have originated as a result of hybridization between durum and wild emmer (Blumler, 1998).

Turkey, as an origin center of various crops, represents a great level of biodiversity and played a vital role to connect the continents with each other (Tan, 1998; Baloch et al., 2017). Turkey is considered as the origin center of the wheat and Karacadağ region (southeastern Turkey) is believed where domestication of wild emmer has occurred (Jorgensen et al., 2017). It is believed that cultivation of emmer wheat started in Fertile Crescent about 10,000 -13,000 years ago where it was the main food source until the beginning of 20th century when its cultivation gradually replaced by durum wheat. Present day, wild emmer is mainly grown on a small area of Kastamonu, Sinop, Karacadağ and Black Sea region in Turkey (Giuliani et al., 2009) and, its diverse wild emmer habitats still survive in cool and humid conditions (Karacadağ Mountains) to hot and dry conditions in Israel (Özkan et al., 2011).

Genetic dissection of any crop remained an utmost desire for the human being to produce higher quantity and quality food and achievement of these goals revolutionized after the advent of molecular markers (Nadeem et al., 2018). Different types of molecular markers have been developed and retrotransposons are one of the most important marker classes (Kalendar et al., 2010). Retrotransposons, which are also known as jumping elements due to their capability to change their locations and copy number, are considered as vital part of the structural evolution of the plant genome (Finnegan, 1989). Retrotransposon content changes individual to individual and normally represents the 50-90% of the plant genome (SanMiguel et al., 1996). Long terminal repeat (LTR) and non-LTR retrotransposons (Non-LTR) are two main groups of retrotransposons. Plant genome reflected the prevalence of LTR retrotransposons as compared to non-LTR. However, various limitations among these retrotransposons markers system demand the development of a new marker system. Inter-primer binding site (iPBS) retrotransposons overcomes all of the limitations present in other retrotransposons markers and emerged as a universal marker system due to easy handling and costeffective properties (Kalendar et al., 2010).

Investigation of genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship among the wilds of any crop becomes present day need due to its role as a source of novel genetic variations which can be an asset for the breeders to develop favorable cultivars for farmer and end-user point of interests (Govindaraj et al., 2015; Baloch et al., 2017). In previous studies, many efforts have been put into the description of the genetic diversity of wild emmer using different markers (Peleg et al., 2008; Dong et al., 2009; Kaymaz and İzbırak, 2010). Domb et al. (2017) used the Transposons elements (TEs) to evaluate the impact of TEs on the diversity of wild emmer. Tagimanova et al. (2015) used the IRAP (Inter-Retrotransposon Amplified Polymorphism) and REMAP (Retrotransposon-Microsatellite Amplified Polymorphism) markers for the investigation of genetic diversity in the Israeli wild emmer germplasm. Very recently Vuorinen et al. (2018) aimed to investigate the level of diversity in 14 Israeli wild emmer populations using IRAP and REMAP markers. However, genetic diversity and population structure have not been revealed by the iPBSretrotransposons markers yet. The present study was aimed to investigate the genetic diversity, population structure and phylogenetic relationship of Turkish tetraploid wheat germplasm using iPBS-retrotransposons markers.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material and DNA Isolation

A total of 29 wild emmer and 4 cultivated emmer wheat landraces were collected from farmers' fields in different provinces of Turkey. Five durum wheats (four of from Syria and one of from Turkey) cultivars were also included as plant material in this experiment (Table 1). These durum wheat genotypes were used as control group to understand the phylogenetic relationship of wild and cultivated emmer wheat. The seeds were sown into pots under greenhouse conditions to obtain young leave tissues for DNA extraction. The DNA was isolated from bulk leaves of each landrace. Young leaves were taken to the laboratory and frozen at -80°C for later use. Genomic DNA was isolated from young, healthy, and fresh leaves following CTAB protocol (Doyle, 1990) with some modifications of Baloch et al. (2016). The DNA concentrations were measured using 0.8% agarose gel and were further confirmed with NanoDrop (DeNovix DS-11 FX, USA) and final concentration was arranged to 5 ng/ μ L for further use in polymerase chain reaction (PCR). These samples were stored at -25°C until PCR amplification started.

iPBS-retrotransposon Analysis

A total of (70) iPBS-retrotransposons primers were screened on randomly selected (8) wild emmer wheat genotypes for PCR amplifications designed by Kalendar et al. (2010). Nine most polymorphic primers were selected in this screening. These 9 primers produced perfect banding profiles which were selected for fingerprinting wheat landraces and cultivars. The name, sequence, and annealing temperature of the primers are described in Table 2. PCR amplifications contained 20 μ L reactions with 4 ng/ μ L template DNA, 2 µL dNTPs (Thermo Scientific), 0.2 µL U Taq DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific), 4 µL primer, 2 μ L 1XPCR buffer (Thermo Scientific), 1,8 μ L MgCl₂ and 6 μ L distilled water PCR conditions were started with denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing temperature 50-65°C depending on primers used for 1 min; and final extension at 72°C 5 min (Kalendar et al., 2010). PCR products were detected by electrophoresis on 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel using 0.5XTBE buffer for 2.5 h; the gel was stained with ethidium bromide before electrophoresis and visualized under UV Imager Gel Doc XR+ system (Bio-Rad, USA) light and it was later photographed. A 100 bp⁺ ladder was used as a molecular weight marker.

Data Analysis

Clear, strong and highly reproductive amplifiable products were undertaken for further analysis. iPBS-retrotransposons is a dominant marker and was scored as binary fashion; 0 or 1 for absence and presence of a band, respectively. Various diversity parameters like a number of effective alleles (Ne), Shannon's Information Index (I), gene diversity (h) and unbiased diversity (uh) were measured using GenAlEx v6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012). The mean polymorphism information contents (PICs) for each primer were calculated by following the criteria of Baloch *et al.* (2015a). Pairwise genetic distance (GDj) was evaluated by applying Jaccard's coefficient (Jaccard, 1908) using R statistical software. To explore the level of diversity more clearly, PopGene ver. 1.32 (Yeh et al., 2000) was used to calculate the Nei (1978) genetic distance among the wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions. To explore the level of genetic diversity in the Turkish wild emmer germplasm, Principle coordinate analysis (PCoA) and the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) was performed. For understanding the phylogenetic relationship among the wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions, UPGMA based clustering was also achieved through the PopGene ver. 1.32 (Yeh et al., 2000). To explore the structure of Turkish wild emmer wheat, Bayesian clustering model was applied in STRUCTURE. Evanno et al. (2005) set the criteria for the determination of suitable numbers of the cluster (number of K; the number of subpopulations) by applying the STRUCTURE and we followed this methodology and plotted the number of clusters (K) against logarithm probability relative to the standard deviation (ΔK) .

Results

Within the wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions; nine most polymorphic iPBS-retrotransposons primers were yielded a total of 171 scoreable bands and 19 was the average number of bands per primer. Among the 171 bands, 86.54% (148) were found to be polymorphic with an average of 16.44 fragments per primer (Table 3). iPBS2238 resulted in maximum (25) number and iPBS2375 in minimum (14) number of total bands. iPBS2238 was found much informative by resulting maximum (23) of polymorphic bands and iPBS2375 and iPBS2399 resulted in a minimum of (12) polymorphic bands. These nine iPBSretrotransposons primers reflected a higher polymorphism with an average of 87.85%. Average PIC value was 0.66 ranging 0.913 to 0.306 in iPBS2378 and iPBS2399 primers respectively. Maximum band frequency/locus was 0.514 with iPBS2241 and 0.471 was the minimum band frequency/locus resulted by iPBS2230 and 0.489 was the average band frequency/locus. 1.982 and 1.942 were the maximum and minimum effective numbers of alleles resulted with iPBS2295 and iPBS2241 respectively and 1.961 were the average effective numbers of alleles. 0.682 was the average, 0.688 and 0.679 were the minimum Shannon's Information Index resulted with iPBS2295 and iPBS2399 respectively. Maximum (0.495) and minimum (0.486) gene diversity were observed with iPBS2295 and iPBS2399 primers respectively, while 0.489 was the average gene diversity. Average unbiased diversity was 0.502 and 0.509 and 0.499 were the maximum and minimum values of unbiased diversity resulted with iPBS2295 and iPBS2399 primers respectively.

The pairwise genetic distance among the wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions was calculated by applying Jaccord coefficient using R statistical software and 0.398 was the average genetic distance.

Table 1: Passport data of wild, cultivated emmer and due	rum wheat accessions
--	----------------------

No	Genotype names	Scientific names	Collected sites	Geographical coordinates	Altitude (m)
1	Kaynak	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N: 37.44.040; E: 39.38.160	1200
2	Çermik1	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.57.104; E: 39.42.991	906
3	Karacadağ1	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.44.510; E: 39.39.887	1110
4	Karacadağ2	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.46.250; E: 39.43.904	1202
5	Karacadağ3	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.46.250; E: 39.43.904	1202
6	Karacadağ4	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.46.250; E: 39.43.904	1202
7	Karacadağ5	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.46.584; E: 39.44.565	1228
8	Karacadağ6	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.50.699; E: 39.48.008	1252
9	Çermik2	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.57.104; E: 39.42.991	906
10	Karacadağ7	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.44.510; E: 39.39.887	1110
11	Karacadağ8	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.44.510; E: 39.39.887	1110
12	Karacadağ9	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır		
13	Karacadağ10	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır		
14	Karacadağ11	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır		
15	Karacadağ12	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.49.819; E: 39.46.590	1251
16	Karacadağ13	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.49.819; E: 39.46.590	1251
17	Karacadağ14	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.50.400; E:39.47.671	1251
18	Karacadağ15	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.50.400; E:39.47.671	
19	Karacadağ16	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.45.970; E: 39.43.350	1285
20	Karacadağ17	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.45.970; E: 39.43.350	1285
21	Karacadağ18	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.45.970; E: 39.43.350	1285
22	Karacadağ19	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.45.970; E: 39.43.350	1285
23	Karacadağ20	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Diyarbakır	N:37.45.970; E: 39.43.350	1285
24	Eruh1	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Siirt	N:37.44.217; E: 42.13.359	985
25	Eruh2	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Siirt	N:37.44.217; E: 42.13.359	985
26	Eruh3	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Siirt	N:37.44.217; E: 42.13.359	985
27	Eruh4	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Siirt	N:37.41.429; E: 42.17.965	
28	Tunceli1	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Tunceli		1300
29	Tunceli2	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	Tunceli		1200
30	25G	T. turgidum spp. dicoccum	Sinop	N:41.27'15; E:35.16'57	1247
31	32G	T. turgidum spp. dicoccum	Sinop	N:41.26'15; E:35.22'54	1111
32	33G	T. turgidum spp. dicoccum	Sinop	N:41.25'58; E:35.22'48	1155
33	S48	T. turgidum ssp. durum	Dayr Azzaur (Syria)		
34	34G	T. turgidum spp. dicoccum	Sinop	N:41.27'55; E:35.16'50	1250
35	T17	T. turgidum ssp. durum	Maraş	-	
36	S41	T. turgidum ssp. durum	Dara (Syria)		
37	S43	T. turgidum ssp. durum	Dara (Syria)		
38	S45	T. turgidum ssp. durum	Damascus (Syria)		

Table 2: iPBS-retrotransposons primer names, sequence and annealing temperature used in this study

Primer name	Sequence	Annealing temp (°C)	
iPBS2075	CTCATGATGCCA	50	
iPBS2375	TCGCATCAACCA	52	
iPBS2399	AAACTGGCAACGGCGCCA	52	
iPBS2378	GGTCCTCATCCA	53	
iPBS2230	TCTAGGCGTCTGATACCA	53	
iPBS2383	GCATGGCCTCCA	53	
iPBS2238	ACCTAGCTCATGATGCCA	55	
iPBS2241	ACCTAGCTCATCATGCCA	55	
iPBS2295	AGAACGGCTCTGATACCA	60	

The maximum genetic distance was 0.6639 present between T17 and Chermik-1 genotypes, followed by T17 and Karacadağ-1 genotypes having 0.641 genetic distance. The minimum genetic distance was 0.115 present between Karacadağ-17 and Karacadağ-18, followed by Chermik-1 and Kaynak genotypes having 0.1481 genetic distance. To explore the level of diversity more clearly, genetic distance among the wild, cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions was also calculated (Table 4). Wild emmer wheat reflected maximum genetic distance of 0.121 with the durum wheat, cultivated emmer wheat reflected maximum genetic distance 0.1338 with the durum wheat. The minimum genetic distance of 0.119 was present between wild emmer wheat and cultivated emmer wheat.

The UPGMA based clustering divided (38) wheat genotypes into two main groups A and B (Fig. 1). Group A was smaller than group B and it clustered only (5) genotypes belonging to durum wheat. Group B was the larger and diverse group and clustered a total of 33 wheat genotypes. Origin center wise and geographical provinces played effective role in the clustering.

To understand the phylogenetic relationship among

Primer	Total	Polymorphic bands	Monomorphic bands	Polymorphism (%)	PIC	Band frequency/ locus	Ne	Ι	h	uh
2075	19	19	0	100	0.786	0.483	1.952	0.680	0.487	0.500
2230	20	19	1	95	0.690	0.471	1.968	0.685	0.492	0.505
2238	25	23	2	92	0.667	0.480	1.961	0.683	0.490	0.503
2241	15	13	2	86.66	0.662	0.514	1.949	0.680	0.487	0.500
2295	17	17	0	100	0.680	0.497	1.982	0.688	0.495	0.509
2375	14	12	2	85.71	0.628	0.511	1.954	0.681	0.488	0.501
2378	18	17	1	94.44	0.913	0.474	1.962	0.683	0.490	0.503
2383	18	16	2	88.88	0.611	0.499	1.972	0.686	0.493	0.506
2399	25	12	13	48	0.306	0.48	1.950	0.679	0.486	0.499
Total	171	148	23							
Average	19	16.44	2.55	87.85%	0.660	0.489	1.961	0.682	0.489	0.502

Table 3: Various diversity parameters evaluated in this study for wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions using iPBS-retrotransposons

PIC=Polymorphic information contents; Ne; No. of effective alleles, I = Shannon's Information Index, h = Diversity, uh = Unbiased Diversity

Table 4: Genetic distance among wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions using iPBS-retrotransposons

Species	T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	T. turgidum spp. dicoccum	T. turgidum ssp. durum
T. turgidum ssp. dicoccoides	****	0.8876	0.8855
T. turgidum spp. dicoccum	0.1192	****	0.8748
T. turgidum ssp. durum	0.1216	0.1338	****

Nei's genetic identity (above diagonal) and genetic distance (below diagonal)

three different species of wheat, UPGMA based clustering was performed which clearly discriminated durum wheat species in the same group of wild emmer and cultivated emmer wheat (Fig. 2).

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) also divided the genotypes according to their phylogenetic group and their collection points (Fig. 3). Wild emmer genotypes in Karacadağ mostly clustered together and the same pattern was observed for genotypes from Tunceli and Eruh. Durum genotypes from Turkey and Syria clustered separately but were present very close to each other. Cultivated emmer wheat made its separate group than wild emmer thus confirming its uniqueness from wild emmer wheat. Bayesian based clustering algorithm divided the genotypes into two population; A and B (Fig. 4). The maximum observed (Δ K) value was (2) and most of the genotypes in population B clustered genotypes from the wild emmer.

Discussion

The iPBS-retrotranposons becomes a marker of choice for the scientific community due to its low cost and higher efficiency (Nadeem *et al.*, 2018). This marker system overcomes the limitations of other retrotransposons based markers and has been successfully applied for the investigation of genetic diversity in various crops like pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) (Baloch *et al.*, 2015a), lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) (Baloch *et al.*, 2015b), common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) (Nemli *et al.*, 2015), okra (*Hibiscus esculentus* L.) (Yıldız *et al.*, 2015), and recently in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) (Yaldiz *et al.*, 2018). In wheat, different retrotransposons based markers (Domb *et al.*, 2017; Vuorinen *et al.*, 2018) have been applied to evaluate



Fig. 1: UPGMA based clustering of 38 wheat genotypes using iPBS-retrotransposons markers



Fig. 2: UPGMA based clustering of 3 wheat species using iPBS-retrotransposons markers

the level of diversity and to our best knowledge, no study has yet been conducted in wild emmer wheat to evaluate the level of diversity using iPBS-retrotransposons markers. Mostly, iPBS-retrotransposons have been utilized for the identification of genetic diversity in various studies for



Jaccard 38 plantas

Fig. 3: Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) for wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions using iPBS-retrotransposons markers



Fig. 4: Structure based clustering of wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions using iPBS-retrotransposons markers

different crops. Therefore, it was also important to check the effectiveness and versatility of this marker system for the investigation of phylogenetic and taxonomic studies and there is no more crop better than the wheat to study and understand the phylogenetic relationship among the tetraploid wheat.

A good level of diversity was obtained using iPBSretrotransposons and 87.85% was the mean polymorphism achieved in this study. Mean polymorphism obtained in this study was higher than the obtained by the Domb et al. (2017) using IRAP and REMAP markers. Our results reflected the higher efficiency of iPBS-retrotransposons markers which could be used to investigate the novel variations. By comparing this marker system with others for the wild emmer wheat, mean polymorphism obtained in this study was found higher than the obtained by Dong et al. (2009) using EST-SSR markers, Fahima et al. (1999) using RAPD markers. Khan et al. (2015) using RAPD markers in wheat. The mean PIC value, an indicator of genetic diversity was found higher than the achieved by Dong et al. 2009; Ren et al. (2013) and much closer with the Peleg et al. (2008) using EST-derived SSR markers. Average number and range of effective numbers of alleles were found higher than obtained by Arora et al. (2014) using SSR markers in Indian wheats. Very recently Eltaher et al. (2018) used GBS identified SNPs in Nebraska winter wheat to investigate the genetic diversity and found a lesser number of an effective number of alleles than those of this study. This reflects the existence of higher variations in Turkish wild and cultivated wheat germplasm and possible efficient usage of them for wheat breeding. Shannon information index (I), commonly used to characterize the level of diversity in a population by accounting both the abundance and evenness of specie present was found higher in this study as compared to obtained by Syouf et al. (2006), Dong et al. (2009) for the wild emmer wheat. Average genetic diversity was in line with Fahima et al. (2002) and found higher than that of Fahima et al. (1999), Dong et al. (2009), Ren et al. (2013) in wild emmer wheat using different types of markers. Mean unbiased diversity resulted by Eltaher et al. (2018) for wheat was found much lower as compared to the one obtained in this study, however was found lower as compared to obtained by Laidò et al., 2013 using SSR and DArT markers to evaluate the genetic diversity and population structure of tetraploid wheats. Our results confirmed the efficiency, uniqueness of iPBSretrotransposons markers, and confirm it as a much powerful marker system which can be utilized for the evaluation of diversity in any plant species due to its universal nature.

To explore the diversity level, pairwise genetic distances (GDj) among the wild, cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions were also calculated. The maximum genetic distance was present between T17 and Chermik-1 genotypes. T17 belongs to durum wheat from Maraş (Turkey) province and Chermik-1 is a wild emmer landrace from Diyarbakır (Turkey). Karacadağ-17 and Karacadağ-18 were found genetically much similar by reflecting minimum (0.115) genetic distance and belongs to same province (Diyarbakır) of Turkey and much closer collection point. To obtain plants with favorable traits remained the main focus of breeder and genetically distinct genotypes always act as a source of breeding material. T17 and Chermik-1 genotypes are genetically distinct genotypes which can be used as candidate parents for possible breeding activities in Turkey. To explore the level of diversity among the emmer and durum wheat accessions, the genetic distance was also investigated and found to be 0.1216 between wild emmer wheat and durum wheat species. Wild emmer and cultivated emmer wheat species reflected minimum genetic distance. This reflect the close relationship of both wheat species and Peng et al. (2011a, b) stated that wild emmer is the progenitor of cultivated wheats and they suggested a hypothesis that hunter-gatherers started to cultivate wild emmer wheat about 10,000 BP ago and subconscious plant selection by the peoples resulted in the development of cultivated emmer wheat.

To understand the level of diversity and phylogenetic relationship, UPGMA based clustering was achieved which divided all genotypes of wheat into (2) main groups A and B (Fig. 1). A was the small but genetically pure group by clustering (5) genotypes belonging to durum wheat. B was the large and diverse group by clustering (33) genotypes. Main group B was further divided into B1 and B2. Only (6) genotypes belonging to wild emmer wheat were clustered into B1 subgroup. B2 was further subdivided into B2I and B2II. B2I was found the largest subgroup of the study by clustering a total of (17) wild emmer genotypes. B2II was further subdivided into two groups B2IIA and B2IIB. B2IIA contained wild emmer genotypes from Eruh province of Turkey and B2IIB was found to be the most diverse subgroup by clustering different genotypes from different provinces and species. All genotypes of durum clustered in this subgroup and two genotypes from Tunceli province also clustered in this subgroup. We observed a clear grouping of genotypes into their respective groups on the basis of their genetic makeup, as all three species of wheat made their own separate groups. Similarly, geographical provinces also played their role effectively as genotypes from Karacadağ and Eruh made their own groups. Clustering on the basis of geographical regions in wheat has been proven in earlier studies (Khan et al., 2015; Baloch et al., 2017) and our results were found to be in line with these studies. To understand the phylogenetic and taxonomic relationship among the wild, cultivated emmer and durum wheat accessions, the UPGMA based clustering was achieved which divided them into two groups (Fig. 2). The wild emmer wheat and cultivated emmer wheat were found to prevail under the same group and durum wheat clearly made divergence from both species and confirmed the hypothesis that wild emmer was the progenitor of cultivated emmer wheat (Shizuka et al., 2015) and confirmed that durum wheat originated from the domesticated emmer wheat (Arzani and Ashraf, 2017). To confirm the clustering evaluated by UPGMA, PCoA was performed which confirmed the results of UPGMA based clustering (Fig. 3). Genotypes from Karacadağ region and belonging to wild emmer, mostly clustered together. All the genotypes from durum made their separate group as present in the UPGMA based clustering. However, genotypes belonging to cultivated emmer wheat made two groups on the basis of their geographic and origin, but both of these groups were found to be much closer to each other by confirming similarity in their genetic makeup. To evaluate the population structure of 38 wheat genotypes, structure algorithm was performed which divided genotypes into two populations (Fig. 4) on the basis of membership coefficient equal or more than 0.5 as suggested by Habyarimana (2016). Population A (red) was found much diverse by clustering genotypes from the durum wheat and cultivated emmer wheat. Population B (green) was found the largest population and it clustered wild emmer genotypes. Structure algorithm strongly supported the results of UPGMA and PCoA by clearly discriminating wild emmer wheat from the durum wheat and cultivated emmer wheat. In structure clustering, genotypes from cultivated emmer wheat were found much closer with the wild emmer wheat and confirmed the close relationship of wild emmer with cultivated emmer wheat. Results of this study showed a good potential of variations in the wild emmer wheat using the iPBS-retrotransposons which can be used for the wheat breeding in near future. There is need to collect a good numbers of einkorn, wild and cultivated emmer and durum wheat genotypes from their center of origin and domestication and their characterization with iPBSretrotransposons markers will be a very effective tool to understand the evolutionary processes of the wheat crop.

Conclusion

This study comprehensively explained the level of diversity and their phylogenetic relationships in Turkish wild and cultivated emmer wheat germplasm. About 88% polymorphism was present which reflected the occurrence of good level of variations. T17 and Chermik-1 were found to be two distinct genotypes belonging to durum wheat and wild emmer wheat, respectively and these genotypes can be used as candidate parents for the development of new and improved wheat cultivars. The iPBS-retrotransposons clearly discriminated durum wheat from the cultivated wheat and wild emmer wheat and confirms the hypothesis that wild emmer is the progenitor of cultivated emmer wheat and durum wheat originated from cultivated emmer wheat.

Acknowledgments

This study is the part of Master thesis of Meerim Arystanbekkyzy. The authors express their gratitude to Scientific research unit, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey (BAP project: 2015.10.07.872) for providing research grant. The authors express their gratitude to TUBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey) for providing a doctoral fellowship to Fawad Ali under the TUBITAK-2216 fellowship program for international researchers.

References

- Arora, A., S. Kundu, N. Dilbaghi, I. Sharma and R. Tiwari, 2014. Population structure and genetic diversity among Indian wheat varieties using microsatellite (SSR) markers. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, 8: 1281–1289
- Arzani, A. and M. Ashraf, 2017. Cultivated ancient wheats (*Triticum* spp.): A potential source of health-beneficial food products. *Comp. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 16: 477–488
- Baloch, F.S., A. Alsaleh, L.E.S. de Miera, R. Hatipoğlu, V. Çiftçi, T. Karaköy, M. Yıldız and H. Özkan, 2015a. DNA based iPBS-retrotransposon markers for investigating the population structure of pea (*Pisum sativum*) germplasm from Turkey. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.*, 61: 244–252
- Baloch, F.S., M. Derya, E.E. Andeden, A. Alsaleh, G. Cömertpay, B. Kilian and H. Özkan, 2015b. Inter-primer binding site retrotransposon and inter-simple sequence repeat diversity among wild Lens species. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.*, 58: 162–168
- Baloch, F.S., A. Alsaleh, E.E. Andeden, R. Hatipoğlu, M. Nachit and H. Özkan, 2016. High levels of segregation distortion in the molecular linkage map of bread wheat representing the West Asia and North Africa region. *Turk. J. Agric. For.*, 40: 352–364

- Baloch, F.S., A. Alsaleh, M.Q. Shahid, V. Çiftçi, L.E.S. de Miera, M. Aasim, M.A. Nadeem, H. Aktaş, H. Özkan, and R. Hatipoğlu, 2017. A whole genome DArTseq and SNP analysis for genetic diversity assessment in durum wheat from central fertile crescent. *PloS One*, 12: e0167821
- Blumler, M.A., 1998. Introgression of durum into wild emmer and the agricultural origin question. In The Origins of Agriculture and Crop Domestication. Proceedings of the Harlan Symposium, 1998, pp: 252–268. International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo, Syria
- Domb, K., D. Keidar, B. Yaakov, V. Khasdan and K. Kashkush, 2017. Transposable elements generate population-specific insertional patterns and allelic variation in genes of wild emmer wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. dicoccoides). BMC Plant Biol., 17: 175
- Dong, P., Y.M. Wei, G.Y. Chen, W. Li, J.R. Wang, E. Nevo and Y.L. Zheng, 2009. EST-SSR diversity correlated with ecological and genetic factors of wild emmer wheat in Israel. *Hereditas*, 146: 1–10 Doyle, J.J., 1990. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus*, 12: 13–15
- Doyae, J.S., 1990. Isolation of plant DYA non-near usade. *Pocus*, 12: 19–19 Dvorak, J. and E.D. Akhunov, 2005. Tempos of gene locus deletions and duplications and their relationship to recombination rate during diploid and polyploid evolution in the *Aegilops-Triticum* alliance. *Genetics*, 171: 323–332
- Dvorak, J., P.D. Terlizzi, H.B. Zhang and P. Resta, 1993. The evolution of polyploid wheats: identification of the A genome donor species. *Genome*, 36: 21–31
- Eltaher, S., A. Sallam, V. Belamkar, H.A. Emara, A.A. Nower, K.F. Salem, J. Poland and P.S. Baenziger, 2018. Genetic diversity and population structure of F3: 6 Nebraska winter wheat genotypes using genotyping-by-sequencing. *Front. Genet.*, 9: 00076
- Enghiad, A., D. Ufer, A.M. Countryman and D.D. Thilmany, 2017. An overview of global wheat market fundamentals in an era of climate concerns. *Intl. J. Agron.*, 2017, Article ID 3931897
- Evanno, G., S. Regnaut and J. Goudet, 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.*, 14: 2611–2620
- Fahima, T., G.L. Sun, A. Beharav, T. Krugman, A. Beiles and E. Nevo, 1999. RAPD polymorphism of wild emmer wheat populations, *Triticum dicoccoides*, in Israel. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 98: 434–447
- Fahima, T., M.S. Röder, K. Wendehake, V.M. Kirzhner and E. Nevo, 2002. Microsatellite polymorphism in natural populations of wild emmer wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*, in Israel. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 104: 17–29
- Finnegan, D.J., 1989. Eukaryotic transposable elements and genome evolution. *Trends Genet.*, 1: 103–107
- Fu, Y.B. and D.J. Somers, 2009. Genome-wide reduction of genetic diversity in wheat breeding. Crop Sci., 49: 161–168
- Giuliani, A., A. Karagöz and N. Zencirci, 2009. Emmer (*Triticum dicoccon*) production and market potential in marginal mountainous areas of Turkey. *Mt. Res. Dev.*, 29: 220–229
- Govindaraj, M., M. Vetriventhan and M. Srinivasan, 2015. Importance of genetic diversity assessment in crop plants and its recent advances: an overview of its analytical perspectives. *Genet. Res. Intl.*, 2015, Article ID 431487
- Gross, B.L. and K.M. Olsen, 2010. Genetic perspectives on crop domestication. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 15: 529–537
- Habyarimana, E., 2016. Genomic prediction for yield improvement and safeguarding of genetic diversity in CIMMYT spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Aust. J Crop Sci., 10: 127–136
- Jaccard, P., 1908. Nouvelles recherches sur la distribution florale. Bull. Soc. Vaud. Sci. Nat., 144: 223–270
- Jorgensen, C., M.C. Luo, R. Ramasamy, M. Dawson, B.S. Gill, A.B. Korol, A. Distelfeld and J. Dvorak, 2017. A high-density genetic map of wild emmer wheat from the Karaca Dağ region provides new evidence on the structure and evolution of wheat chromosomes. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 8: 1798
- Kalendar, R., K. Antonius, P. Smýkal and A.H. Schulman, 2010. iPBS: A universal method for DNA fingerprinting and retrotransposon isolation. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 121: 1419–1430
- Kaymaz, A. and A. İzbırak, 2010. Molecular Characterization of Genetic Diversity Among *T. dicoccoides* and *T. dicoccon* populations by RAPD-PCR technique. *Hacettepe J. Biol. Chem.*, 38: 333–344

- Khan, M.K., A. Pandey, G. Thomas, M.S. Akkaya, S.A. Kayis, Y. Ozsensoy, M. Hamurcu, S. Gezgin, A. Topal and E.E. Hakki, 2015. Genetic diversity and population structure of wheat in India and Turkey. *AoB Plants.*, 7, plv083
- Laidò, G., G. Mangini, F. Taranto, A. Gadaleta, A. Blanco, L. Cattivelli, D. Marone, A.M. Mastrangelo, R. Papa and P. De Vita, 2013. Genetic diversity and population structure of tetraploid wheats (*Triticum turgidum* L.) estimated by SSR, DArT and pedigree data. *PLoS One*, 27: 8: e67280
- Luo, M.C., Z.L. Yang, F.M. You, T. Kawahara, J.G. Waines and J. Dvorak, 2007. The structure of wild and domesticated emmer wheat populations, gene flow between them, and the site of emmer domestication. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 114: 947–959
- Marcussen, T., S.R. Sandve, L. Heier, M. Spannagl, M. Pfeifer, K.S. Jakobsen, B.B. Wulff, B. Steuernagel, K.F. Mayer and O.A. Olsen, 2014 Ancient hybridizations among the ancestral genomes of bread wheat. *Science*, 345: 1250092–2
- Nadeem, M.A., M.A. Nawaz, M.Q. Shahid, Y. Doğan, G. Comertpay, M. Yıldız, R. Hatipoğlu, F. Ahmad, A. Alsaleh, N. Labhane, H. Özkan, G. Chung and F.S. Baloch, 2018. DNA molecular markers in plant breeding: current status and recent advancements in genomic selection and genome editing. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol Equip.*, 32: 261–285
- Nei, M., 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics*, 89: 583–590
- Nemli, S., T. Kianoosh and M.B. Tanyolac, 2015. Genetic diversity and population structure of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) accessions through retrotransposon-based interprimer binding sites (iPBSs) markers. *Turk. J. Agric. For.*, 39: 940–948
- Özkan, H., G. Willcox, A. Graner, F. Salamini and B. Kilian, 2011. Geographic distribution and domestication of wild emmer wheat (*Triticum dicoccoides*). *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, 58: 11–53
- Özkan, H., A. Brandolini, R. Schäfer-Pregl and F. Salamini, 2002. AFLP analysis of a collection of tetraploid wheats indicates the origin of emmer and hard wheat domestication in southeast Turkey. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 19: 1797–1801
- Peakall, R. and P.E. Smouse 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. *Bioinformatics*, 28: 2537–2539
- Peleg, Z., Y. Saranga, T. Suprunova, Y. Ronin, M.S. Röder, A. Kilian, A.B. Korol and T. Fahima, 2008. High-density genetic map of durum wheat× wild emmer wheat based on SSR and DArT markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 117: 103–115
- Peng, J.H., D. Sun and E. Nevo, 2011a. Domestication evolution, genetics and genomics in wheat. *Mol. Breed.*, 28: 281–301
- Peng, J.H., D. Sun and E. Nevo, 2011b. Wild emmer wheat, *Triticum dicoccoides*', occupies a pivotal position in the wheat domestication process. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, 5: 1127–1143
- Peng, J., D. Sun, Y. Peng and E. Nevo, 2012. Gene discovery in *Triticum dicoccoides*, the direct progenitor of cultivated wheats. *Cereal Res. Commun.*, 41: 1–22
- Ren, J., L. Chen, D. Sun, F.M. You, J. Wang, Y. Peng, E. Nevo, A. Beiles, D. Sun, M.C. Luo and J. Peng, 2013. SNP-revealed genetic diversity in wild emmer wheat correlates with ecological factors. *BMC Evol. Biol.*, 13: 169
- Reynolds, M., D. Bonnett, S.C. Chapman, R.T. Furbank, Y. Manes, D.E. Mather and M.A. Parry, 2010. Raising yield potential of wheat. I. Overview of a consortium approach and breeding strategies. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 62: 439–452
- SanMiguel, P., A. Tikhonov, Y.K. Jin, N. Motchoulskaia, D. Zakharov, A. Melake-Berhan, P.S. Springer, K.J. Edwards, M. Lee, Z. Avramova and J.L. Bennetzen, 1996. Nested retrotransposons in the intergenic regions of the maize genome. *Science*, 274: 765–768
- Shizuka, T., N. Mori, H. Ozkan and S. Ohta, 2015. Chloroplast DNA haplotype variation within two natural populations of wild emmer wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*) in southern Turkey. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.*, 29: 423–430
- Syouf, M., B.E. Abu-Irmaileh, J. Valkoun and S. Bdour, 2006. Introgression from Durum Wheat Landraces in Wild Emmer Wheat (*Triticum Dicoccoides* (Körn. ex Asch. et Graebner) Schweinf). *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, 53: 1165–1172

- Tagimanova, D.S., A.P. Novakovskaya, A.O. Uvashov, O.N. Khapilina and R.N. Kalendar, 2015. Use of retrotransposon markers for analysing the genetic diversity of wild emmer wheat (*Triticum dicoccoides*). *Biotechnol. Theor. Prac.*, 4: 28–37
- Tan, A., 1998. Current status of plant genetic resources conservation in Turkey. In: Proceedings of the International Symposium on in-situ Conservation of Plant Genetic Diversity, pp: 5–16. Zencirci, N., Z. Kaya, Y. Anikster and W.T. Adams (eds.). Central Research Institute For Field Crops (CRIFC), Ankara, Turkey
- Vuorinen, A.L., R. Kalendar, T. Fahima, H. Korpelainen, E. Nevo and A.H. Schulman, 2018. Retrotransposon-based genetic diversity assessment in wild Emmer wheat (*Triticum turgidum ssp. dicoccoides*). *Agronomy*, 2018, 8: 107
- Weiss, E. and D. Zohary, 2011. The Neolithic Southwest Asian founder crops: their biology and archaeobotany. *Curr. Anthropol.*, 52: S237–S254
- Yaldiz, G., M. Camlica, M.A. Nadeem, M.A. Nawaz and F.S. Baloch, 2018. Genetic diversity assessment in *Nicotiana tabacum* L. with iPBS-retrotransposons. *Turk. J. Agric. For.*, 42: 154–164
- Yeh, F.C., R. Yang, T.J. Boyle, Z. Ye and J.M. Xiyan, 2000. PopGene32, Microsoft Windows-based Freeware for Population Genetic Analysis, Version 1.32. Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Centre, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- Yıldız, M., M. Koçak and F.S. Baloch, 2015. Genetic bottlenecks in Turkish okra germplasm and utility of iPBS retrotransposon markers for genetic diversity assessment. *Genet. Mol. Res.*, 14: 10588–10602

(Received 10 August 2018; Accepted 27 August 2018)